Case 1:07-cv-10764-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 1 of 11		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)		
MICHAEL DOYLE AND MARIE DOYLE	DOCKET NO.		
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT		
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY		
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendants.			
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant $Plaintiff(s)$ as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual $Plaintiff(s)$ , which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\square$ '' if applicable to the instant $Plaintiff(s)$ , and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			
Plaintiffs, MICHAEL DOYLE AND MARIE DOYLE, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:			
I. PA	RTIES		

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	✓ Plaintiff, I	MICHAEL DOYLE (1	nereinafter the '	"Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and
a citizen o	of New York residir	ng at 151 North 5th Str	eet, Lindenhur	rst, NY 11757
		(	(OR)	
2.	Alternatively,		is the	of Decedent
	, and bring	gs this claim in his (her	r) capacity as	of the Estate of

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-10764-AKH Documer	nt 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11
-	51 North 5th Street, Lindenhurst, NY 1	er the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of New York 1757-, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plain	SPOUSE at all relevant times MICHAEL DOYLE, and bring	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ags this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
		and (his wife), Plaintiff MICHAEL DOYLE. Other:
4. New York (F	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 4/30/FDNY) as a Fireman at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
The World	d Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
From on or a	bout 9/11/2001 until 4/30/2002;	Approximately hours per day; for
	Ely $\underline{12}$ hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
Approximate	ely <u>232</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
□ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
	bout,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
Approximate	ely hours per day; for ely days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh	======================================	From on or about until;
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
	hours per day; for	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site
	ely days total.	Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled o dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
$\Box$ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
$\square$ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-10764-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil ——remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28  III CAUSES  Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C S OF	Court has already determined that it has 2. § 1441.  ACTION  d defendants based upon the following theories
of lial law:	bility, and asserts each element necessary to est	tablish	such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>\</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

#### Case 1:07-cv-10764-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
<b>V</b>	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Respiratory Problems Date of onset: 2/1/2002 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/1/2002 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		✓	Other Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.  2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
V	Pain and suffering			
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
$\overline{v}$	Other:			

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other:

✓ Mental anguish Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.\_

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Michael Doyle and Marie Doyle

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	MICHAEL DOYLE (AND WIFE, MARIE DOYLE),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,  Attorney(s) for
PLEAS	E TAKE NOTICE:
□ <u>NO1</u>	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20  TICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at
	on20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP